

## The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

## Perspective

## The Origins of Covid-19 — Why It Matters (and Why It Doesn't)

Lawrence O. Gostin, J.D., and Gigi K. Gronvall, Ph.D.

hen health emergencies arise, scientists seek to discover the cause — such as how a pathogen emerged and spread — because this knowledge can enhance our under-

standing of risks and strategies for prevention, preparedness, and mitigation. Yet well into the fourth year of the Covid-19 pandemic, intense political and scientific debates about its origins continue. The two major hypotheses are a natural zoonotic spillover, most likely occurring at the Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market, and a laboratory leak from the Wuhan Institute of Virology (WIV). It is worth examining the efforts to discover the origins of SARS-CoV-2, the political obstacles, and what the evidence tells us. This evidence can help clarify the virus's evolutionary path. But regardless of the origins of the virus, there are steps the global community can take to reduce future pandemic threats.

The origins story dates back to December 31, 2019, when the World Health Organization (WHO) learned of a cluster of cases of pneumonia of unknown cause in Wuhan (see timeline). Wuhan authorities closed the Huanan market the next day, rendering live animals unavailable for testing. China publicly shared the SARS-CoV-2 genetic sequence on January 10, 2020. It was not until weeks after the WHO declared Covid-19 a Public Health Emergency of International Concern on January 30 that the WHO-China Joint Mission visited Beijing and Wuhan (February 16 to February 24).

The joint WHO-China technical report published in March 2021 rated a zoonotic spillover as a

"likely to very likely" source of the virus, cold food-chain products as "possible," and a laboratory incident as "extremely unlikely."1 The WHO director-general immediately repudiated the report's findings, believing it was premature to rule out a possible laboratory incident. An open letter published in Science on May 14, 2021, credited the laboratory theory, calling for open access to laboratory records and sciencebased studies.2 On October 13, 2021, the WHO director-general established the Scientific Advisory Group for the Origins of Novel Pathogens (SAGO). China officially rejected the WHO's plan for a second phase of investigation of origins. The SAGO's preliminary report warned that China was withholding key data.

Recently, a team of international experts announced that they had identified data on SARS-CoV-2-positive environmental samples collected from the Huanan

PERSPECTIVE THE ORIGINS OF COVID-19

INTERNATIONAL	March 16, 2023  Virologists announce they have located SARS-CoV-2 data associated with positive samples collected at Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market, pointing to a raccoon dog origin to a raccoon dog origin to a raccoon dog origin science, 2 studies provide evidence that SARS-CoV-2 most likely emerged through multiple zoonotic transmissions at Huanan Seafood Wholesale	2023	January 25, 2023  Department of Health and Human Services Inspector General issues report concluding that National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases failed to adequately oversee an Ecohealth Alliance grant for research into bat viruses at Wuhan Institute of Virology  February 26, 2023  The Wall Street Journal reports that the Department of Energy concludes SARS-Cov-2 most likely originated from a laboratory incident  March 1, 2023  FBI director states that the Bureau assesses SARS-Cov-2 as most likely originating from a laboratory incident  March 8, 2023  First congressional hearing of Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Pandemic  March 20, 2023  President Biden signs the COVID-19 Origin Act of 2023, declassifying national intelligence on SARS-Cov-2 origins	
	Virolo e cia cia cia coll w  June 9, 2022  SAGO releases preliminary report recommending further study on both hypotheses for SARS-CoV-2 origins In Science, 2 sevidence that likely emergec zoonotic trann Huanan Seafa Market	2022	b, 2021  Department Inspector Services Inspecto concluding the concluding the ton the adequately Alliance gravind-19  The Wall Street Jou ment of Energy of likely originate ikely originate First Street Jou man to for the man to for the force of likely originate force or a sessesses at Wall street Jou ment of Energy originate force or a sessesses at Wall Street Jou ment of Energy originate force or a sessesses at Wall street Jou ment of Energy originate force or a sessesses at Wall street Jou ment of Energy originate force or a sessesses at Wall street Jou ment of Energy or a sessesses at Wall street Jou ment of Energy or a sessesses at Wall street Jou ment of Energy or a sessesses at Wall street Jou ment of Energy or a sessesses at Wall street Jou ment of Energy or a session of the sessesses at Wall street Jou ment of Energy or a session of the sessesses at Wall street Jou ment of Energy or a sessesses at Wall street Jou ment of Energy or a sessesses at Wall street Jou ment of Energy or a session of the sesses at Wall street Jou ment of Energy or a session of the sessio	
	March 30, 2021  WHO—China technical report evaluates introduction through an intermediate animal as likely or very likely and a laboratory incident as extremely unlikely. WHO director-general calls for further investigation of laboratory incident hypothesis  May 14, 2021  In Science, 17 scientists call for a transparent investigation into SARS-CoV2 origins, including a full investigation of laboratory incident hypothesis		to Covid-19 origins half health agencies ment of the People's Republy evernment and agencies	NATIONAL
	January 14–February 10, 2021  WHO—China team conducts joint on-the-ground investigation of Huanan Seafood  Wholesale Market  4, 2020  Wholesale Market  Wholesale Market  Wholesale Market  Wholesale Market  World Health Assembly adopts  Food and Agriculture Organization for Animal Health, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, and member nations to identify SARS-CoV-2 origins	2021	g G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	
	January 30, 2020 WHO declares Covid-19 a Public Health Emergency of International Concern NHO-China Joint Mission visits Beijing and Whan visits Beijing and Wand Heal resolution resolution of predictor general process of predictor declared identify SAI	2020	January 1, 2020 Chinese authorities close Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market for sanitation and disinfection January 10, 2020 Chinese scientists publicly share the SARS-CoV-2 genetic sequence Cases of pneumonia of unknown cause in Wuhan, China, reported to WHO	

Key Events in the Effort to Determine the Origins of the Covid-19 Pandemic.

FBI denotes Federal Bureau of Investigation, SAGO Scientific Advisory Group for the Origins of Novel Pathogens, and WHO World Health Organization.

PERSPECTIVE THE ORIGINS OF COVID-19

market in January 2020, which China had withheld from the public domain for 3 years. Chinese scientists had uploaded the data to GISAID (the Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data) but then removed them. In response to pressure from the WHO, China restored those data to GISAID.

Determining the origins of SARS-CoV-2 should be strictly a scientific matter, but it has become embroiled in politics. In March 2020, the Chinese Ministry of Foreign Affairs alleged, without evidence, that U.S. Army personnel had introduced SARS-CoV-2 during a visit to Wuhan, prompting President Donald Trump to claim that the virus originated at the WIV. Accusing the directorgeneral of siding with China, Trump notified the United Nations that the United States intended to withdraw from the WHO. Although President Joe Biden later reversed that decision, the origins controversy has continued. On May 26, 2021, Biden ordered U.S. intelligence agencies to review the competing origins hypotheses. The Office of the Director of National Intelligence released the "Declassified Assessment on COVID-19 Origins," finding that the evidence to support either of the two plausible theories was inconclusive and acknowledging that China's cooperation was necessary for reaching any conclusive assessment.3

Origins politics heated up early this year. On January 25, 2023, the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Health and Human Services concluded that the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases had failed to adequately oversee a grant to the EcoHealth Alliance for research into bat viruses at the

WIV.4 A month later, the Department of Energy, which oversees a network of 17 U.S. laboratories, concluded with "low confidence" that SARS-CoV-2 most likely arose from a laboratory incident. The Federal Bureau of Investigation said it favored the laboratory theory with "moderate" confidence. Four other agencies, along with a national intelligence panel, still judge that SARS-CoV-2 emerged from natural zoonotic spillover, while two remain undecided. All U.S. intelligence agencies rejected the allegation that participants in a clandestine Chinese biologic weapons program intentionally developed SARS-CoV-2. Yet a report issued in mid-December 2022 by Republican members of the House of Representatives still credited that theory. On March 20, 2023, Biden signed a bill declassifying documents about Covid-19's origins, and Congress commenced hearings.

Of the three possibilities natural, accidental, or deliberate — the most scientific evidence yet identified supports natural emergence. More than half of the earliest Covid-19 cases were connected to the Huanan market, and epidemiologic mapping revealed that the concentration of cases was centered there. In January 2020, Chinese officials cleared the market without testing live animals, but positive environmental samples, including those from an animal cage and a hair-and-feather-removal machine, indicated the presence of both SARS-CoV-2 and Covid-susceptible animals.5 Recently released findings included raccoon dog DNA, pointing to a possible SARS-CoV-2 progenitor. Samples from early cases in humans also contained two different SARS-

CoV-2 lineages. Although only one lineage spread globally, the existence of multiple lineages suggests that a SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in animals may have led to multiple spillover events.

Proponents of the accidental laboratory leak theory stress the geographic location of the WIV in the city where the pandemic began. They point to the presence of the bat coronavirus RaTG13 strain at the laboratory, arguing that genetic manipulations such as gain-of-function (GOF) research may have produced SARS-CoV-2. Most scientists refute this theory because there is considerable evolutionary distance between the two viruses. However, the possibility that the laboratory held a different progenitor strain to SARS-CoV-2 that led to a laboratory leak cannot be unequivocally ruled out.

China's obfuscation may mean that we will never have certainty about the origins of the greatest pandemic in more than a century. After all the world has suffered in loss of life, economic hardship, and exacerbated health disparities, there is intrinsic value in knowing the cause. An objectively determined body of scientific facts cannot fully defuse the political rhetoric surrounding the origins investigation, but the search must continue. The newly released genetic data may reveal whether specific animals were infected and offer information about where they came from, opening new possibilities to investigate, which may also improve attribution techniques for investigating future outbreaks. Irrespective of Covid's origins, future outbreaks could result from deliberate, accidental, or natural causes, and improving our ability to understand and prove theories

PERSPECTIVE THE ORIGINS OF COVID-19

will be critical. We propose three important steps for fortifying pandemic preparedness.

First, preventing spillovers by using a One Health strategy linking animal, human, and environmental health is vital. Some 60% of outbreaks of diseases previously unseen in humans arise from natural zoonoses. Human and animal populations could be separated more effectively with stricter regulation of wet markets and enforcement of laws prohibiting wildlife trade. Landmanagement efforts such as halting deforestation would benefit the environment while creating a buffer between wildlife and humans. Widespread use of sustainable and humane farming practices would eliminate overcrowding of domesticated animals and curtail prophylactic antimicrobial use - with added benefits in preventing antimicrobial resistance.

Second, it is important to fortify laboratory safety to reduce the risks of unintentional release of a dangerous pathogen. Regulatory requirements should include site-specific and activityspecific risk assessments to identify and mitigate risks; core protocols for infection prevention and control; and training for proper use of, and access to, personal protective equipment. International standards exist for biorisk management, which should be broadly adopted.

Third, GOF research designed to elucidate the transmissibility or pathogenicity traits of pathogens should be appropriately overseen to reduce risks while allowing important research and vaccine development to continue. Such research may result in the creation of microbes with enhanced pandemic potential, which could be released unintentionally or intentionally. However, there is no international agreement about which research activities are problematic or how to reduce risks. On January 27, 2023, the U.S. National Science Advisory Board for Biosecurity issued a more rigorous framework for oversight of research, which prominent virologists criticized as overbroad and inhibitory to U.S. vaccine development. Since GOF research is conducted in laboratories globally, an international framework is needed.

Since the pandemic's earliest days, controversy has swirled about how it began. Origins investigations are scientific endeavors, but we need to plan ahead so that scientists get rapid access to key geographic sites, open scientific exchange, and full transparency. Though such investigations proceed more slowly than

the news cycle, these steps are the keys to unlocking the mystery surrounding Covid-19 and preparing the world for the future outbreaks that are certain to occur.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available at NEJM.org.

From the O'Neill Institute for National and Global Health Law, Georgetown University Law Center, Washington, DC (L.O.G.); and the Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security, Baltimore (G.K.G.).

This article was published on June 7, 2023, at NEJM.org.

- 1. World Health Organization. WHO-convened global study of origins of SARS-CoV-2: China part. March 30, 2021 (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-convened-global-study-of-origins-of-sars-cov-2-china-part).
- **2.** Bloom JD, Chan YA, Baric RS, et al. Investigate the origins of COVID-19. Science 2021;372:694.
- 3. Office of the Director of National Intelligence. Declassified assessment on COVID-19 origins. October 29, 2021 (https://www.dni.gov/index.php/newsroom/reports-publications/reports-publications/2021/item/2263-declassified-assessment-on-covid-19-origins).
- 4. Office of the Inspector General. The National Institutes of Health and EcoHealth Alliance did not effectively monitor awards and subawards, resulting in missed opportunities to oversee research and other deficiencies. Washington, D.C.: Department of Health and Human Services, January 2023 (https://oig.hhs.gov/oas/reports/region5/52100025.pdf).
- 5. Worobey M, Levy JI, Malpica Serrano L, et al. The Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market in Wuhan was the early epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic. Science 2022;377: 951-9.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp2305081
Copyright © 2023 Massachusetts Medical Society.